

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/498,950	02/04/2000	Jake B. Carpenter	B0932/7134	9504
Jason M. Honeyman Wolf Greenfield & Sacks PC Federal Reserve Plaza 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210-2211			EXAMINER	
			VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/498,950	CARPENTER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Frank Vanaman	3618	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	<u>luly 2003</u> .	,	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			
·	Claim(s) 1-104 is/are pending in the application	on.	'	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>30-35 and 51-55</u> is/a			
	Claim(s) <u>36-50</u> is/are allowed.		,	
•	Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 5-8, 10-14, 17-20, 22-24, 26-28,</u>	56, 57, 60, 62-65, 67-71, 74-80,	82-90, 93, 94, 96-98, 101, 102,	
<u>104</u> is/are	e rejected.			
7)⊠	Claim(s) 3,4,9,15,16,21,25,29,58,59,61,66,72,	73,81,91,92,95,99,100 and 103 i	s/are objected to.	
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.	·	
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) 🔲 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ply to this Office action.	9	
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		·	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•	·	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been red	ceived.	



Application/Control Number: 09/498,950

Art Unit: 3618

Status of Application

1. Applicant's amendment, filed July 16, 2003, has been entered in the application. Claims 1-104 are pending, claims 30-35 and 51-55 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10-14, 17-20, 22, 85, 86, 88-90, 93, 94, 96-98, 101, 102 and 104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen et al. (US 5,918,897, cited previously) in view of Victor (CA 1001676, cited previously). Hansen et al. teach a system for attaching a snowboard boot (2) including a base plate (3, 4), a flexible unitary binding strap (5 and 10) having a boot shaped contour and which includes a slit-shaped pocket (portion 10) which forms with the strap, an internal opening (interior face of the external surface of 10, for example) having a top, bottom and sides, a further strap (8, 9, 11) with one end (e.g., 11) insertable through the pocket, the portion of the strap in the pocket corresponding to the contour of the strap 5. 10), the other end connected to a binding base portion (through 7, 12, 13), still further strap elements (29, 30) connectable to the binding strap (through 7' and 13, figure 4), one end of each of the still further strap elements connected to the base (and thence also to the binding strap), the other ends connected to a pair of buckles (27, 28) which also engage strap 8, 9, 11; the portions 8, 9 of the straps and the engaged portions of the buckles 27, 28 constituting mating features to the breadth claimed; each of the strap elements being mounted so as to move in longitudinal directions with respect to the other strap elements to the extent claimed. The reference of Hansen et al. fails to teach the further strap element connection (e.g., 27, 28, 29, 30) as being adjustable when the boot is in the binding. Victor teaches a binding device wherein a strap (56) provided with a buckle (58) is additionally provided with a secondary adjusting buckle (54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the further straps and strap connections (e.g., 27-30) taught by Hansen et al.



Application/Control Number: 09/498,950

Art Unit: 3618

each with secondary adjusting elements such as the buckles (54) taught by Victor, which are capable of being tightened or released when a boot is in the binding, for the purpose of allowing a user to set an overall range of binding tension settings, while still allowing the quick attachment and detachment provided by the strap 8, 9, 11.

As regards claim 12, In view of Hansen et al.'s having provided a pair of strap portions located in the pocket portion (10 of strap 5), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide separate pockets for each strap portion for the purpose of preventing snarling or crossing of the two strap portions (e.g., portions 8, 9).

Claims 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 56, 57, 60, 62-65, 67-71, 74-80, 82-84 and 87 are 4. rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen et al., in view of Victor and Andre (US 2,531,763, cited by applicant). The reference of Hansen et al. as modified by Victor is discussed above and fails to teach the engagement of the strap portions 29, 30, with the buckles 27, 28, modified by the reference to Victor to be adjustable, as including a plurality of apertures in at least the straps and a fastener which may be engaged with the apertures. Andre teaches a mounting system for use with a portion of a boot which requires closure, including a first strap portion (17) with apertures (19) and a mating buckle (18) including a fastener which may removably engage the apertures. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the connection of strap portions 29, 30 to buckles 27, 28, modified by the reference to Victor to be adjustable, with a buckle having a fastener which releasably engages one of a plurality of apertures positioned at least on the strap portions, for the purpose of allowing each of the straps to be individually adjusted, and for the purpose of allowing the degree of engagement to be easily reproduced (e.g., by engaging the fastener portion of the buckle with the same aperture), facilitating consistent operation of the binding.



Application/Control Number: 09/498,950

Art Unit: 3618

Allowable Subj ct Matter

- 5. Claims 3, 4, 9, 15, 16, 21, 25, 29, 58, 59, 61, 66, 72, 73, 81, 91, 92, 95, 99, 100 and 103 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 36-50 are allowed.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that claims 30-36 and 51-55 are withdrawn from consideration as noted above.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments, filed with the amendment, have been carefully considered. As regards the combination of the reference to Hansen with that of Breuer, the examiner agrees, and those rejections based upon these references have been withdrawn. As regards applicant's further comments directed to the reference of Andre, as much as they may be interpreted as applicable against the newly set forth rejections, the examiner does not agree. Applicant has referred to Hansen et al's specification, describing the predefined closure position as teaching away from the use of a hook arrangement set forth by Andre. On further consideration subsequent to the interview of 2/6/03 and further perusal of the reference to Andre, the examiner notes that the reference to Andre does not provide any evidence that the hook connection is of such a nature that it would necessarily (either explicitly or inherently) release if tension is not maintained on the connection. As such applicant's arguments, in the absence of any supporting evidence, are not persuasive. The arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record. In re Schulze, 346 F.2d 600, 602, 145 USPQ 716, 718 (CCPA 1965).





Art Unit: 3618

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Vanaman whose telephone number is 703-308-0424. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

As of May 1, 2003, any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,

Or faxed to one of the following fax servers:

Regular Communications/Amendments: 703-872-9326

After Final Amendments: 703-872-9327

Customer Service Communications: 703-872-9325

F. VANAMAN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3618

AM.